LAURIE GILBERT,	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS  746 RWZ	
2 484421	)\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
v.	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PROGRAM	AMOU
JOHN HAGGARTY,	ý	SUMM
Defen	dant.	LOCAL WAIVE
	NOTICE OF REMOVAL	MCF IS

AMOUNT \$25 SUMMONS ISSUED NIA OCAL RULE 4.1 VAIVER FORM

Defendants, John Haggarty (hereinafter, "Haggarty"), Timothy B. Dube (hereinafter, "Dube"), and John Doe (hereinafter, "Doe"), the Defendants (hereinafter, "the Defendants") in the above-entitled cause, hereby file this Notice Of Removal of the above-described action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts from Essex County Superior Court, Case No. 05-432C, where the action is now pending as provided by Title 28, U.S. Code, Chapter 98 and state:

- 1. The above-entitled action was commenced in the Superior Court of Essex County, State of Massachusetts, and is now pending in that court. Process was served on the Defendants on March 17, 2005. A copy of the Plaintiff's complaint and summons setting forth the claim for relief upon which the action is based was first received by the Defendants on March 17, 2005.
- 2. This action was commenced against the Defendants in Essex County Superior Court by the Plaintiff and alleges that the Defendants violated the Plaintiff's constitutional rights secured by the Fourth, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution to be free from unreasonable search and seizure of her person and to due process of law. The United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts has original jurisdiction by reason of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 in that the action arises under the Laws of the United States that, as appears from the complaint, the Plaintiff bases her claim for relief against the Defendants by virtue of and under the federal statutes and acts of Congress.
- 3. Defendants further allege that the action was commenced by the filing of the complaint on March 16, 2005, and the service of process on Defendants on March 17, 2005, and that the time has not elapsed within which they are allowed to file this notice of removal of action to this court.
- 4. A copy of all process, pleadings, and orders served upon the Defendants is filed with this notice.
- 5. The Defendants will give written notice of the filing of this notice as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).
- 6. A copy of this notice will be filed with the clerk of the Essex County Superior Court as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

WHEREFORE, the Defendants request that this action proceed in this Court as an action properly removed to it.

Dated: April 7, 2005 f\lpa\gilbert\pldgs\req.removal.doc

> Respectfully submitted, For the Defendants, John Haggarty, Timothy B. Dube, John Doe, By their attorney,

Matthew E. Dwyer (BBO# 139840)

Dwyer, Duddy and Facklam Attorneys At Law, P.C. One Center Plaza, Suite 360 Boston, MA 02108 (617) 723-9777

(TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: Please Circle Type of Action Involved: - TORT - MOTOR VEHICLE TORT - CONTRACT - EQUITABLE RELIEF - OTHER.)

## COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

ESSEX, 55			SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION
		-1 -1	No. 05-432C
	Laurie Gilbert	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, Plaintiff(s)
		ν	
	John Haggarty		, Defendaut(s)
* 	SUM	MONS ·	
To the above named Defendant:		,	
You are hereby summoned	and required to serve upon	Richard N. F	oley
plaintiff's artorney, whose addres	/1/ State 5	t., Portsmouth,	NH 03801 , an answer to th
complaint which is herewith serve	ed upon you, within 20 days	after service of this summe	ons upon you, exclusive of the
day of service. If you fail to do s	,	•	
complaint. You are also required to the following the foll		•	Clerk of this court at
Unless otherwise provided have against the plaintiff which a claim or you will thereafter be ba	rises out of the transaction o	r occurrence that is the subj	n any claim which you may ect matter of the plaintiff's
"	Barbara	•	
	WITNESS, day of 3/17/6	Esquire, a	t Salem, the ur Lord two thousand
	4		
IRUE A	TTEST GOPY	Tronco H.	Wiscoll 1
DEPU	1 Y SHERIFF	30 17 10 770	Clerk

#### NOTES:

1. This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all defendants should appear in the caption. If a separate summons is used for each defendant, each should be addressed to the particular defendant.

## COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

ESSEX, SS. SUPERIOR COURT LAWRENCE SESSION

## COMPLAINT AND JURY CLAIM

## INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

1. This is a civil action by the Plaintiff, brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and § 1988 and the Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and under the common law of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts seeking to recover compensatory and punitive damages for injuries and to redress deprivation under the color of law, ordinance, regulations, customs, or usage of a right, privilege, and immunity secured to the Plaintiff by the United States Constitution, the laws of the United States and the Constitution and laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

### PARTIES

- The Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert, is and all times relevant to this Complaint, a citizen of the United States and a resident of the town of Belmont, County of Belknap, State of New Hampshire, residing at 10 Range Road, Belmont, NH.
- 3. The Defendant, John Haggarty, (hereinafter referred to as 'Haggarty') was at all times mentioned herein, a duly appointed and active officer for the City of Lawrence, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, acting under the color of

- law, to wit, under color of statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies, customs and usages.
- The Defendant, Timothy Dube, (hereinafter referred to as 'Dube') was at all times mentioned herein, a duly appointed and active officer for the City of Lawrence, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, acting under the color of law, to wit, under color of statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies, customs and usages.
- 5. The Defendant, John Doe, and others not presently known to Plaintiff were, at all times material to this Complaint, duly appointed and active police officers for the City of Lawrence, Massachusetts, acting under the color of law, to wit, under color of statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies, customs and uses.
- 6. Plaintiff sues all Defendants in their individual capacities.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. On or about March 18, 2002, Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert was parked in front of 96 Park Street, Lawrence, Massachusetts.
- 8. Mrs. Gilbert was with her son, Jason Macleod.
- 9. Mrs. Gilbert was taking her son to Holy Family Hospital in Methuen, Massachusetts due to her son having a badly injured ankle.
- 10. Mrs. Gilbert was unfamiliar with the area and pulled over on the side of the road to try to ascertain directions to Holy Family Hospital.
- 11. While parked on the side of the road she called her husband, Greg, to let him know that I was lost. After speaking to her husband two girls walked up the road, came over to the car and knocked on the passenger side window.
- 12. She put the window down a little bit and asked them about directions to Holy Family Hospital.

- 13. The girls could not speak English and Mrs. Gilbert wasn't sure what they were saying except for a statement of \$25.00. So she put the window up and drove away.
- 14. Mrs. Gilbert went up the road, turned around and drove back to the lights. She took a right and headed back towards Friendly's restaurant which she knew was a landmark.
- 15. Mrs. Gilbert only had gone a short distance when she noticed blue lights behind her.
- 16. She couldn't pull right over because there were a lot of cars parked on the side of the road.
- 17. Mrs. Gilbert pulled over as soon as possible and the police car pulled over behind her.
- 18. She did not understand why she was being pulled over.
- 19. Two officers in plain clothes approached her car. She put her window down and asked them what the problem was.
- 20. The larger officer asked for her license and registration and as she was looking in her pocketbook for her license, he ordered both Jason and Mrs. Gilbert out of the car.
- 21. The larger officer brought her to the sidewalk and started asking her who the two girls were that came up to her car while she was parked across from the store.
- 22. Mrs. Gilbert told him that she didn't know who they were and explained to him that she was looking for Holy Family Hospital but had gotten lost somehow.
- 23. The officer asked her if she would go back to the street she was on and try to buy drugs from the two girls.
- 24. When she refused to do this, he said that if she would help them, they would help her and she wasn't dealing with "some small time or small town New Hampshire cops that she was dealing with the big boys now."

- 25. Mrs. Gilbert refused to do what they wanted her to do and started questioning if they were even police officers.
- 26. The small officer was done searching Mrs. Gilbert's car and had retrieved a can of pepper spray that was in her pocketbook. She told him that she had bought it at Ames Department Store in Laconia, New Hampshire.
- 27. At this time the larger officer asked Mrs. Gilbert again about going back to the girls and she still refused. The officer then said, "You wouldn't want anything to happen to your son, would you?"
- 28. The small officer told Jason to turn around and not look at Mrs. Gilbert or the cops.
- 29. The larger officer also turned Mrs. Gilbert away from the car while the small officer got back into the car.
- 30. The small officer came out of the car with a Winston cigarette pack which Mrs. Gilbert had never seen or even knew anyone who smoked that brand and told the larger officer to arrest them.
- 31. As Mrs. Gilbert was being handcuffed she told the officer that she was being treated for a back injury from a car accident but he did not appear to care as he treated her very roughly.
- 32. The officers claimed they found two grams of cocaine in Mrs. Gilbert's car, however, neither Jason nor herself saw any drugs, just the Winston box.
- 33. Jason and Mrs. Gilbert were brought to the police station.
- 34. Once inside the police station there were several different officers inside laughing and joking and taunting them.
- 35. Mrs. Gilbert asked one of the officers if he could undo her handcuffs because her back was killing her. One officer took them off and the room went black.

- 36. While at the police station the officers were very rude and unprofessional. Mrs. Gilbert asked them how they could sleep at night as their behavior was inexcusable.
- 37. The large officer became extremely angry, grabbed her by her shoulders and twisted her around and dragged her around the corner.
- 38. While he was doing this he was squeezing the back of her neck while he opened the cell door.
- 39. He threw Mrs. Gilbert in the cell with such force that she fell to the floor, slid and hit her head on the wall.
- 40. Mrs. Gilbert told him he was hurting her and he said he didn't care.
- 41. He then started kicking Mrs. Gilbert in her legs and she remembered sliding across the floor trying to get away from him.
- 42. The officer continued to kick her saying, "Don't worry I won't hit you in your pretty face where it will show" all the while muttering obscenities and kicking her in her shins.
- 43. Mrs. Gilbert was shouting for help and no one came.
- 44. After a few minutes the officer shut the cell door and left.
- 45. Mrs. Gilbert's legs were red and swollen and her back pain was so bad she could hardly sit down.
- 46. Mrs. Gilbert asked for a doctor and no one responded.
- 47.. An older officer came in and she asked him for a doctor and he said he couldn't do that.
- 48. Eventually Mrs. Gilbert was bailed by her sister and got home at approximately 2:00 AM.
- 49. She couldn't sleep all night due to pain and also fear as she had to be back in Lawrence the next day for an arraignment.

- 50. By 6:00 AM Mrs. Gilbert became physically sick thinking about having to go to Lawrence.
- 51. The closer she got to the city the sicker she became and her back and legs were hurting so badly that she had to fight back tears.
- 52. After being arraigned she went to the hospital where the doctor advised her that he could tell that the injuries on her legs were kick marks.
- 53. Due to the great physical, emotional and psychological trauma of the evening with the Lawrence police, Mrs. Gilbert endures physical pain and has difficulty sleeping. She has nightmares and flashbacks and suicidal thoughts.

### COUNT 1

# 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Haggarty and Dube

- 54. Plaintiff alleges and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 53 of this count, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 55. On the evening of March 18, 2002 at approximately 10:40 PM Defendants Haggarty and Dube dressed in plain clothes and traveling in an unmarked car, stopped the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff had violated no law, and Defendants had seen no evidence of probable cause that the Plaintiff had committed an offense nor did Defendants have any justification or authority whatever for stopping Flaintiff.
- 56. The Plaintiff stepped from her automobile in full cooperation with Defendants Haggarty and Dube. Said Defendants abused her verbally and searched her automobile. At no time before or during this interrogation and search did Defendants see or find any evidence of probable cause that Plaintiff had committed any offense against the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts or the City of Lawrence.
- 57. Although Defendants Haggarty and Dube found no evidence of any violation of law by Plaintiff, they continued to

- verbally abuse and threaten the Plaintiff and her son, Jason Macleod.
- 58. When Plaintiff would not succumb to the officers' demands and threats, they again purportedly searched her vehicle and this time claimed to have found drugs.
- 59. The officers then charged with possession of marijuana and possession of crack cocaine.
- 60. Although Defendants Haggarty and Dube had found no evidence of any violation of the law by Plaintiff, the Defendants placed the Plaintiff in custody, and transported her to the Lawrence Police Department.
- 61. Without probable cause or any justification whatsoever, Defendants Haggarty and Dube agreed to and did maliciously charge Plaintiff with the following offenses: possession of marijuana and possession of crack cocaine under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 62. Because of Defendants Haggarty and Dube' malicious charges against Plaintiff, Plaintiff was further detained without cause by the police at the Lawrence Police Station until she was bailed out by her sister.
- 63. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful detention, search, arrest and beating of Plaintiff and the malicious charges placed against her, the Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert, suffered the following injuries and damages:
  - Violation of her constitutional rights under the Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution to be free from unreasonable search and seizure of her person and to due process of law;
  - b) Loss of her physical liberties;
  - Physical pain and suffering and great emotional trauma and suffering, requiring expenditure of money for treatment;
  - d) Incurrence of legal costs.

- 64. The actions of the Defendant officers' Haggarty and Dube violated the following clearly established and well settled constitutional rights of Laurie Gilbert:
  - a) Freedom from the unreasonable seizure of her person;
  - b) Freedom from the use of excessive, unreasonable and unjustified force against her person;
  - c) Due process of the law.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert, demands judgment against the Defendants Haggarty and Dube jointly and severally for compensatory damages in an amount determined by the jury to be fair and just, for punitive damages, costs, and attorney fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and § 1988 for this action and for such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT II

# Assault and battery against Defendant Haggarty

- 65. Plaintiff alleges and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 53 of this complaint, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 66. The Plaintiff was detained at the Lawrence Police Department wherein the larger officer believed to be Defendant Haggarty repeatedly assaulted the Plaintiff.
- 67. At the time of the assault the Plaintiff was not violating any laws, was not attempting to interfere with the Defendant's execution of his duties, and was not engaged in any assault behavior towards the Defendant.
- 68. The said assault by the Defendant was not justified by any actions of the Plaintiff and constituted an unreasonable and excessive use of force.
- 69. The actions alleged above deprived the Plaintiff of the following rights under the United States Constitution:
  - a) Freedom from excessive and unreasonable force
  - b) Freedom from deprivation of liberty without due process of law

- c) Freedom from summary punishment
- 70. Defendant Haggarty subjected Plaintiff to such deprivations by either malice or a reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights.
- 71. The direct and proximate results of the Defendant's acts subjected the Plaintiff to suffer severe and permanent injuries including anxiety, nightmares and post traumatic stress disorder, was forced to endure and still endures great pain and mental suffering and to incur medical and legal expenses, and was deprived of her physical liberty.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert demands judgment against Defendants Haggarty and Dube, jointly and severally for compensatory damages in an amount determined by a jury to be fair and just, for punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and § 1988 for this action, and for such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT III

# False arrest and illegal imprisonment against Haggarty, Dube and Doe

- 72. Plaintiff alleges and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 53 of this complaint, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 73. At all times material and relevant herein, Defendants Haggarty, Dube and Doe were acting as police officers of the Lawrence Police Department.
- 74. Said false arrest and illegal imprisonment were in violation of the laws of the United States of America and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 75. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' acts the Plaintiff has suffered injuries as aforesaid and greatly injuring Plaintiff's reputation bringing her into public scandal, disrepute and disgrace.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert, demands judgment against Defendants Haggarty, Dube and Doe for compensatory damages in an amount determined by a jury to be

fair and just, for punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 1988 for this action and for such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

#### COUNT IV

# Malicious Prosecution following false arrest

- 76. On or about March 18, 2002, Laurie Gilbert was lawfully present in Lawrence, Massachusetts and was bringing her son, Jason Macleod to the hospital. Although Plaintiff went about her business and committed no crime, Defendants Haggarty and Dube with deliberation and malice, and without probable cause detained and arrested the Plaintiff on the charges of possession of marijuana and possession of crack cocaine.
- 77. That Defendants Haggarty and Dube falsely, maliciously and without probable cause or provocation charged Plaintiff with the crimes of possession of marijuana and possession of crack cocaine.
- 78. Plaintiff was held for several hours as a result of said charges.
- 79. On or about April 26, 2002, the charges were dismissed and the matter resolved in Plaintiff's favor.
- 80. As a proximate result of the criminal charges initiated by Defendants Haggarty and Dube, Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert has been damaged aforesaid including costs and attorney's fees for \$7,500.00 incurred in defending the false charges.
- 81. The acts of Defendants Haggarty and Dube were willful, wanton, malicious and oppressive, and were motivated solely by a desire to harm Plaintiff for refusing to purchase drugs on behalf of the undercover officers or by hatred or ill will toward Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert demands judgment against Defendants Haggarty and Dube, jointly and severally for compensatory damages in an amount determined by a jury to be fair and just, for punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 1988 and for such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT V

# Conspiracy to Maliciously Prosecute

- 82. Plaintiff alleges and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 53 of this complaint, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 83. Between March 18, 2002 and April 26, 2002 Defendants Haggarty and Dube conspired, agreed and acted in concert to falsely and maliciously initiate a criminal prosecution of Plaintiff for the crime of possession of crack cocaine and possession of marijuana.
- 84. Among the acts pursuant to such conspiracy and agreement, Defendants fabricated evidence for procuring said prosecution.
- 85. Thereafter on or about April 26, 2002, the case was dismissed thereby terminating the prosecution in favor of the Plaintiff.
- 86. As a proximate result of the criminal charge initiated by Defendants, the Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert has suffered damages as aforesaid including costs and attorney's fees of \$7,500.00 in the defense of the charges.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert demands judgment against Defendants Haggarty and Dube, jointly and severally for compensatory damages in an amount determined by a jury to be fair and just, for punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 1988 for this action and for such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT VI

- 87. Plaintiff alleges and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 53 of this complaint, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 88. Said force used against Plaintiff was unreasonable and constituted assault and battery under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and in violation of M.G.L.c. 12 § 11H and 11I.

89. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' acts the Plaintiff has suffered damages as aforesaid.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert, demands judgment against all Defendants for compensatory damages in amount determined by a jury to be fair and just, for punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees for this action and for such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT VII

- 90. Plaintiff alleges and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 53 of this count, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 91. Said false arrest and illegal imprisonment violated Plaintiff's rights under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in violation of M.G.L.c. 12 § 11H and 11I.
- 92. As a result of said arrest and false imprisonment Plaintiff suffered damages as aforesaid.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Laurie Gilbert, demands judgment against all Defendants for compensatory damages in an amount determined by a jury to be fair and just, for punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees for this action and for such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY.

Dated: March 16, 2005

Respectfully Submitted, LAURIE GILBERT

By Her attorney Richard N. Foley, Esq. 414 State St. Portsmouth, NH 03801 (603) 433-1303 BBO #: 553321

OF MASSACHUSETTS
SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION
NO. 05-432C
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
-

### NOTICE TO STATE COURT OF FILING OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL

To: Clerk of Court
Essex County Superior Court
Superior Courthouse
34 Federal Street
Salem, MA 01970

Richard N. Foley, Esquire 414 State Street Portsmouth, NH 03801

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), the Defendants file herewith a true copy of the Notice Of Removal previously filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, and a true copy of the Notice Of Filing Of Notice Of Removal.

Dated: April 7, 2005 f:\lpa\gilbert\pldgs\not.sc.not.rem.doc

Respectfully submitted,

For the Defendants,

John Haggarty, Timothy B. Dube,

John Doe,

By their attorney,

Matthew E. Dwyer (BBO# 139840)

Dwyer, Duddy and Facklam

Attorneys At Law, P.C.

One Center Plaza, Suite 360

Boston, MA 02108

(617) 723-9777

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

I. Title of case (name of first party on each side only)									
•	Category in which	ch the case belongs ba	sed upon the	sumbased matur (3 su	i RI	N.Z.	e civil c	over sheet.	See local
	 I.	160, 410, 17 R23	REGARDLES	OF NATURE OF SUIT.					
	II.	195, 196, 368, 400, 4 740, 790, 791, 820*,	40, 441–446, 5 330*, 840*, 85	40, 550, 555, 625, 710, 7 0, 890, 892-894, 895, 956	720, 730, ).			AO 120 or AO emark or copy	
		110, 120, 130, 140, 1 315, 320, 330, 340, 3 380, 385, 450, 891.	51, 190, 210, 3 45, 350, 355,	230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371,					
	iv.	220, 422, 423, 430, 4 690, 810, 861-865, 8		610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 000.	660,				
	<b>v</b> .	150, 152, 153.							
3. 4.	MacLeod v. H	or, if any, of related case indicate the title and nul aggarty, et al.	mber of the fi	est filed case in this cou	ırt.			<del></del> -	d in this
••	71 <b>45 4 p</b> 116 444	,			YES		NO		
5.	Does the compl §2403)	aint in this case questi	on the constit	utionality of an act of c	ongress YES	affecting	the pub	lic interest?	(See 28 USC
	If so, is the U.S.	A. or an officer, agent o	or employee o	of the U.S. a party?	YES		NO	i	
ŝ.	Is this case req	uired to be heard and d	etermined by	a district court of three	judges YES	pursuant t	to title 2 NO	8 USC §22847	?
7.	Do <u>all</u> of the par Massachusetts	rties in this action, exc ("governmental agenci	luding goveri ies"), residin	nmental agencies of the g in Massachusetts res	united : ide in th	states and e same div	the Corrision?	mmonwealth ( - (See Local F	of Rule 40.1(d)).
					YES		NO		
	A.	If yes, in which divi	sion do <u>all</u> of	the non-governmental	parties r	eside?			********
		Eastern Division		Central Division			West	ern Division	
	₿.	If no, in which divis residing in Massac		ajority of the plaintiffs o	r the on	ly parties,	excludi	ng governme	ntal agencies
		Eastern Division		Central Division				ern Division	0.45
8.	If filing a Notice submit a separa	of Removal - are there ate sheet identifying th	any motions e motions)	pending in the state co	ourt requ	iring the a	ittention	of this Court	? (IT yes,
	·				YES		NO		
(P	LEASE TYPE OR	PRINT)	<u>.</u>	Below M. Morros E.					
Αī	ITORNEY'S NAM	Matthew E. Dwy	er. Esquire	, Brian W. Maser, Es	squire	0.5.		00400	
		er, Duddy and Fack	lam, P.C., 0	One Center Plaza, S	uite 36	U, Bostoi	n, MA	U21U8	
TE	ELEPHONE NO	(617) 723-9777						··-	

**S**JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS John Haggarty, Timothy B. Dube, John Doe				
Laurie Gilbert			John Haggarty, Ti					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Belknap, NH (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Essex, MA  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					
	ne. Address, and Telephone Number	smouth, NH 03801	Attorneys (If Known)  Matthew E. Dwyer, Esquire, Brian M. Maser, Esquire, Dwyer, Duddy and Facklam, One Center Plaza, # 360, Boston, 02108					
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)			
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	P	F DEF I Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	<b></b>	2 7 2 Incorporated and I of Business in .	Another State			
			Citizen or Subject of a D Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation				
IV. NATURE OF SU	JIT (Place an "X" in One Box On	ly)	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES			
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpaym  & Enforcement of Judgn □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted  Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpaym  of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liabil □ 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectmen □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY    310 Airplane     315 Airplane Product Liability     320 Assault Libel & Slander     330 Federal Employers     1340 Marine     345 Marine Product Liability     350 Motor Vehicle     355 Motor Vehicle     4360 Other Personal     1	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury - Med Malpractice  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus:  530 General  355 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Othe  550 Civil Rights	610 Agriculture   620 Other Food & Drug   625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881   630 Liquor Laws   640 R.R. & Truck   650 Airline Regs.   660 Occupational Safety/Health   690 Other   LABOR   710 Fair Labor Standards Act   720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations   730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act   740 Railway Labor Act   790 Other Labor Litigation   791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUTS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS —Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment     □ 410 Antitrust     □ 430 Banks and Banking     □ 450 Commerce     □ 460 Deportation     □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and     □ Corrupt Organizations     □ 480 Consumer Credit     □ 490 Cable/Sat TV     □ 810 Selective Service     □ 850 Securities/Commodities/     □ Exchange     □ 875 Customer Challenge     □ 12 USC 3410     □ 890 Other Statutory Actions     □ 891 Agricultural Acts     □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act     □ 893 Environmental Matters     □ 894 Energy Allocation Act     □ 895 Freedom of Information     □ Act     □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination     □ 1 Under Equal Access     □ 1 Under Equal Access     □ 1 Unstice     □ 950 Constitutionality of     □ State Statutes			
V. ORIGIN Original Proceeding  VI. CAUSE OF ACT VII. REQUESTED COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED C	TION  Cite the U.S. Civil S 28 U.S.C. 133  Brief description of Civil Action by  IN CHECK IF THI UNDER F.R.C.	Appellate Court tatute under which you are 1 cause plaintiff to recover S IS A CLASS ACTION	4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transtated or Reopened 5 Specific filing (Do not cite jurisdiction damages for injuries su DEMAND \$	nal statutes unless diversity) stained and to redress	s deprivation of rights y if demanded in complaint:			
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER				
DATE 04/14/2005		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD					
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	:DGE			